

# Emulation Capacity between Idempotent Channels

22 June 2026, Beyond IID, Shenzhen, arXiv:2511.16299

---

Idris Delsol,<sup>1</sup> Omar Fawzi,<sup>1</sup> Li Gao,<sup>2</sup> Mizanur Rahaman<sup>3</sup>

June 22, 2026

<sup>1</sup>ENS Lyon, Inria, <sup>2</sup>Wuhan Institute of Quantum Technology, Wuhan, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology

The logo for Inria, featuring the word "Inria" in a stylized, red, cursive script.The logo for ENS DE LYON, consisting of the letters "ENS" in a bold, black, sans-serif font with horizontal lines through them, and "ENS DE LYON" in a smaller, black, sans-serif font below it.

## Motivation - Sending information in the real world

- ▶ There is no *noiseless* transmission in the real world.

## Motivation - Sending information in the real world

- ▶ There is no *noiseless* transmission in the real world.
- ▶ **If the noise is weak enough: perfect transmission is asymptotically possible!**  
(Classically [Shannon 1948], Quantum case [Kitaev 1997])

## Motivation - Sending information in the real world

- ▶ There is no *noiseless* transmission in the real world.
- ▶ **If the noise is weak enough: perfect transmission is asymptotically possible!**  
(Classically [Shannon 1948], Quantum case [Kitaev 1997])
- ▶ But, comes at a cost: multiple uses of the noisy channel to transmit a single message
- ▶ Goal of Quantum Error Correction: have the most logical qubits for the least physical ones

## Motivation - Sending information in the real world

- ▶ There is no *noiseless* transmission in the real world.
- ▶ **If the noise is weak enough: perfect transmission is asymptotically possible!**  
(Classically [Shannon 1948], Quantum case [Kitaev 1997])
- ▶ But, comes at a cost: multiple uses of the noisy channel to transmit a single message
- ▶ Goal of Quantum Error Correction: have the most logical qubits for the least physical ones

**What is the lowest price for noiseless transmission through a noisy channel?**

## Motivation - Sending information in the real world

- ▶ There is no *noiseless* transmission in the real world.
- ▶ **If the noise is weak enough: perfect transmission is asymptotically possible!**  
(Classically [Shannon 1948], Quantum case [Kitaev 1997])
- ▶ But, comes at a cost: multiple uses of the noisy channel to transmit a single message
- ▶ Goal of Quantum Error Correction: have the most logical qubits for the least physical ones

**What is the lowest price for noiseless transmission through a noisy channel?**

**If we pay an *even lower* price, can useful information still be transmitted?**

## Emulation Capacity

How to best emulate a channel  $\mathcal{F}$  given a channel  $\mathcal{G}$ ?

## Emulation Capacity

How to best emulate a channel  $\mathcal{F}$  given a channel  $\mathcal{G}$ ?

**Target channel**  $\mathcal{F}$  (quantum or classical)

**Source channel**  $\mathcal{G}$  (quantum or classical)

**Goal** Find  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}$ , for  $k/n$  as large as possible.

# Emulation Capacity

How to best emulate a channel  $\mathcal{F}$  given a channel  $\mathcal{G}$ ?

**Target channel**  $\mathcal{F}$  (quantum or classical)

**Source channel**  $\mathcal{G}$  (quantum or classical)

**Goal** Find  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}$ , for  $k/n$  as large as possible.

## Remark

- ▶ **Emulation Capacity**  $C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F})$ : best possible rate  $k/n$ .
- ▶ If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the identity : channel coding. [Shannon 1948]
- ▶ If  $\mathcal{G}$  is the identity: channel simulation. [Bennett/Shor/Smolin/Thapliyal 2002]
- ▶ Beyond identity,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  can be arbitrary.

# Emulation Capacity

How to best emulate a channel  $\mathcal{F}$  given a channel  $\mathcal{G}$ ?

**Target channel**  $\mathcal{F}$  (quantum or classical)

**Source channel**  $\mathcal{G}$  (quantum or classical)

**Goal** Find  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}$ , for  $k/n$  as large as possible.

## Remark

- ▶ **Emulation Capacity**  $C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F})$ : best possible rate  $k/n$ .
- ▶ If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the identity : channel coding. [Shannon 1948]
- ▶ If  $\mathcal{G}$  is the identity: channel simulation. [Bennett/Shor/Smolin/Thapliyal 2002]
- ▶ Beyond identity,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  can be arbitrary.

Hard problem for general quantum channels

## Strong-converse Rate

What is the asymptotic error if we go beyond the capacity?

## Strong-converse Rate

What is the asymptotic error if we go beyond the capacity?

**Target channel**  $\mathcal{F}$  (quantum or classical)

**Source channel**  $\mathcal{G}$  (quantum or classical)

**Goal** For  $(k_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(n_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $n_\nu \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \infty$  and  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_\nu}{n_\nu} = C(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}) + \varepsilon$ , find  $(\mathcal{E}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu}$  is 'close' to  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu$  when  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Strong-converse Rate

What is the asymptotic error if we go beyond the capacity?

**Target channel**  $\mathcal{F}$  (quantum or classical)

**Source channel**  $\mathcal{G}$  (quantum or classical)

**Goal** For  $(k_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(n_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $n_\nu \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \infty$  and  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_\nu}{n_\nu} = C(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}) + \varepsilon$ , find  $(\mathcal{E}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu}$  is 'close' to  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu$  when  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Remark

- ▶ **Strong-converse rate:** Rate beyond which *for all* encodings and decodings,  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu$  stay 'far' one from another when  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ▶ Classical case: emulation capacity is a strong-converse rate.

## Strong-converse Rate

What is the asymptotic error if we go beyond the capacity?

**Target channel**  $\mathcal{F}$  (quantum or classical)

**Source channel**  $\mathcal{G}$  (quantum or classical)

**Goal** For  $(k_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(n_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $n_\nu \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \infty$  and  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_\nu}{n_\nu} = C(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}) + \varepsilon$ , find  $(\mathcal{E}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu}$  is 'close' to  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu$  when  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Remark

- ▶ **Strong-converse rate:** Rate beyond which *for all* encodings and decodings,  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu$  stay 'far' one from another when  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ▶ Classical case: emulation capacity is a strong-converse rate.

Hard problem for general quantum channels

# Idempotent channels

Focus on **idempotent** channels

- ▶  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  idempotent:  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ .
- ▶ Much more **amenable** to study than general channels

# Idempotent channels

Focus on **idempotent** channels

- ▶  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  idempotent:  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ .
- ▶ Much more **amenable** to study than general channels
- ▶ Examples:
  - ▶ Identity and completely dephasing channels (classical identity)
  - ▶ Partial traces  $\rho_{AB} \mapsto \text{tr}_B(\rho_{AB}) \otimes \sigma_B$
  - ▶ Completely depolarising channel

Focus on **idempotent** channels

- ▶  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  idempotent:  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ .
- ▶ Much more **amenable** to study than general channels
- ▶ Examples:
  - ▶ Identity and completely dephasing channels (classical identity)
  - ▶ Partial traces  $\rho_{AB} \mapsto \text{tr}_B(\rho_{AB}) \otimes \sigma_B$
  - ▶ Completely depolarising channel
- ▶ For  $\Phi$  an arbitrary channel, a subsequence of the sequential composition  $\Phi^n = \Phi \circ \dots \circ \Phi$  converges to an idempotent channel
- ▶ Capacities of sequential compositions studied in [Fawzi/Rahaman/Taheri 2024], [Singh/Rahaman/Datta 2024], [Singh/Datta 2025]

# Idempotent channels

Focus on **idempotent** channels

- ▶  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  idempotent:  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ .
- ▶ Much more **amenable** to study than general channels
- ▶ Examples:
  - ▶ Identity and completely dephasing channels (classical identity)
  - ▶ Partial traces  $\rho_{AB} \mapsto \text{tr}_B(\rho_{AB}) \otimes \sigma_B$
  - ▶ Completely depolarising channel
- ▶ For  $\Phi$  an arbitrary channel, a subsequence of the sequential composition  $\Phi^n = \Phi \circ \dots \circ \Phi$  converges to an idempotent channel
- ▶ Capacities of sequential compositions studied in [Fawzi/Rahaman/Taheri 2024], [Singh/Rahaman/Datta 2024], [Singh/Datta 2025]
- ▶ Discrimination of idempotent channels also recently studied [Singh/Bergh 2026]

## Structural properties of idempotent channel

If  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  idempotent

$$\text{Rg}(\mathcal{F}) = \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^K \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{k,1}) \otimes \rho_k \right) \oplus 0$$

- ▶ **Shape vector** of  $\mathcal{F}$ :  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = (\dim(\mathcal{H}_{1,1}), \dots, \dim(\mathcal{H}_{K,1}))$
- ▶  $\lambda(\mathcal{F})$  computable in polynomial time [Fawzi/Rahaman/Taheri 2024]
- ▶ Stable under tensor product :  $\lambda(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) = \lambda(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \lambda(\mathcal{G})$
- ▶ **Idea:** If  $\mathcal{F}(x) = x$  for  $x$  full-rank,  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is a conditional expectation and its range has a known structure [Wolf/Pérez-García 2010]

### Theorem 1 (Emulation Capacity)

For  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  idempotent:

$$C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\rho \in [1, +\infty]} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_{\rho})}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_{\rho})}.$$

# Emulation capacity - Idempotent channels

## Theorem 1 (Emulation Capacity)

For  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  idempotent:

$$C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\rho \in [1, +\infty]} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_{\rho})}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_{\rho})}.$$

- ▶ Emulation capacity and strong-converse rate admit a **single letter** formula!

# Emulation capacity - Idempotent channels

## Theorem 1 (Emulation Capacity)

For  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  idempotent:

$$C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\rho \in [1, +\infty]} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_{\rho})}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_{\rho})}.$$

- ▶ Emulation capacity and strong-converse rate admit a **single letter** formula!
- ▶ Additivity for the source channel:  $C(\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2 \mapsto \mathcal{F}) = C(\mathcal{G}_1 \mapsto \mathcal{F}) + C(\mathcal{G}_2 \mapsto \mathcal{F})$
- ▶ Non reversibility of the emulation  $C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F}) \neq C(\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{G})^{-1}$

# Emulation capacity - Idempotent channels

## Theorem 1 (Emulation Capacity)

For  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  idempotent:

$$C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\rho \in [1, +\infty]} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_\rho)}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_\rho)}.$$

- ▶ Emulation capacity and strong-converse rate admit a **single letter** formula!
- ▶ Additivity for the source channel:  $C(\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2 \mapsto \mathcal{F}) = C(\mathcal{G}_1 \mapsto \mathcal{F}) + C(\mathcal{G}_2 \mapsto \mathcal{F})$
- ▶ Non reversibility of the emulation  $C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F}) \neq C(\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{G})^{-1}$

Emulation capacity **fully determined** by the shape vector

## Strong-converse rate - Idempotent channels

Idempotent case: there is a **strong-converse rate**

## Strong-converse rate - Idempotent channels

Idempotent case: there is a **strong-converse rate**

### Theorem 2 (Strong-converse rate)

Let  $(k_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(n_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  be two integer sequences with  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} n_\nu = +\infty$  such that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_\nu}{n_\nu} \geq \min_{p \in \{1, +\infty\}} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p)}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p)} + \varepsilon.$$

Then for all sequences  $(\mathcal{E}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu} - \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu\|_\diamond = 1$ .

Idempotent case: there is a **strong-converse rate**

### Theorem 2 (Strong-converse rate)

Let  $(k_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(n_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  be two integer sequences with  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} n_\nu = +\infty$  such that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_\nu}{n_\nu} \geq \min_{p \in \{1, +\infty\}} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p)}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p)} + \varepsilon.$$

Then for all sequences  $(\mathcal{E}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu} - \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu\|_\diamond = 1$ .

- ▶  $\|\mathcal{F}\|_\diamond = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \|\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Id}_n\|_{1 \rightarrow 1}$
- ▶  $\frac{1}{2} \|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond = 1$  iff  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  maximally distinguishable

## Strong-converse rate - Idempotent channels

Idempotent case: there is a **strong-converse rate**

### Theorem 2 (Strong-converse rate)

Let  $(k_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(n_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  be two integer sequences with  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} n_\nu = +\infty$  such that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfying

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_\nu}{n_\nu} \geq \min_{p \in \{1, +\infty\}} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p)}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p)} + \varepsilon.$$

Then for all sequences  $(\mathcal{E}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k_\nu} - \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{G}^{\otimes n_\nu} \mathcal{E}_\nu\|_\diamond = 1$ .

- ▶  $\|\mathcal{F}\|_\diamond = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \|\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Id}_n\|_{1 \rightarrow 1}$
- ▶  $\frac{1}{2} \|\Phi - \Psi\|_\diamond = 1$  iff  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  maximally distinguishable
- ▶ Known for self-adjoint idempotent channels [Gao/Junge/LaRacuente 2018]

**Much** better behaved than the general case.

## Cases without minimisation

$\mathcal{F}$	$\mathcal{G}$	$C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F})$
$\text{Id}_d$	$\mathcal{G}$	$\frac{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{G})\ _\infty)}{\log(d)}$
$\mathcal{F}$	$\text{Id}_d$	$\frac{\log(d)}{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{F})\ _1)}$
$\Delta_d$	$\mathcal{G}$	$\frac{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{G})\ _1)}{\log(d)}$
$\mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \neq (1, \dots, 1)$	$\Delta_d$	0
$\mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = (1, \dots, 1)$	$\Delta_d$	$\frac{\log(d)}{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{F})\ _1)}$

**Table 1:** Emulation capacities when either  $\mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{G}$  is the identity or the completely dephasing channel.

## Cases without minimisation

$\mathcal{F}$	$\mathcal{G}$	$C(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F})$
$\text{Id}_d$	$\mathcal{G}$	$\frac{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{G})\ _\infty)}{\log(d)}$
$\mathcal{F}$	$\text{Id}_d$	$\frac{\log(d)}{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{F})\ _1)}$
$\Delta_d$	$\mathcal{G}$	$\frac{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{G})\ _1)}{\log(d)}$
$\mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \neq (1, \dots, 1)$	$\Delta_d$	0
$\mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = (1, \dots, 1)$	$\Delta_d$	$\frac{\log(d)}{\log(\ \lambda(\mathcal{F})\ _1)}$

**Table 1:** Emulation capacities when either  $\mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{G}$  is the identity or the completely dephasing channel.

In these cases, the strong-converse rate and the emulation capacity **coincide**

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

- ▶ Achievability
  - ▶ Amounts to embedding  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^*)$  into  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^*)$  as  $*$ -algebras
  - ▶ Asymptotic embedding of  $*$ -algebras studied in [Kuperberg 2002]
  - ▶ For all  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,  $\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p < \|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p \implies$  there is  $k, n, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}$ .
  - ▶ Conclude by multiplicativity of the shape vector

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

- ▶ Achievability
  - ▶ Amounts to embedding  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^*)$  into  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^*)$  as  $*$ -algebras
  - ▶ Asymptotic embedding of  $*$ -algebras studied in [Kuperberg 2002]
  - ▶ For all  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,  $\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p < \|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p \implies$  there is  $k, n, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}$ .
  - ▶ Conclude by multiplicativity of the shape vector
- ▶ Converse
  - ▶ If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}$ , we can embed  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{F}^*)$  into  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{G}^*)$

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

- ▶ Achievability
  - ▶ Amounts to embedding  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^*)$  into  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^*)$  as  $*$ -algebras
  - ▶ Asymptotic embedding of  $*$ -algebras studied in [Kuperberg 2002]
  - ▶ For all  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,  $\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p < \|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p \implies$  there is  $k, n, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}$ .
  - ▶ Conclude by multiplicativity of the shape vector
- ▶ Converse
  - ▶ If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}$ , we can embed  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{F}^*)$  into  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{G}^*)$ 
    - ▶ Embedding given by  $x \mapsto e_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{G}^*\mathcal{D}^*(x)e_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^*\mathcal{D}^*(x)$ , with  $e_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{1})^0$ .
    - ▶ Amounts to show that  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{F}^*) \subseteq M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^*\mathcal{D}^*}$ , multiplicative domain of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^*\mathcal{D}^*$ .
    - ▶ For  $\Phi$  CP,  $M_{\Phi^*} = \{X \mid \forall Y, \Phi(XY) = \Phi(X)\Phi(Y), \Phi(YX) = \Phi(Y)\Phi(X)\}$
    - ▶ For  $\Phi$  Unital CP, i.e  $\Phi^*$  is CPTP,  
 $M_{\Phi} = \{X \mid \Phi(X^*X) = \Phi(X^*)\Phi(X), \Phi(XX^*) = \Phi(X)\Phi(X^*)\}$ .
    - ▶ Inclusion proven by the Schwarz inequality:  $\mathcal{F}^*(x^*x) \geq \mathcal{F}^*(x^*)\mathcal{F}^*(x)$ .

# Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

- ▶ Achievability
  - ▶ Amounts to embedding  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^*)$  into  $\text{Rg}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^*)$  as  $*$ -algebras
  - ▶ Asymptotic embedding of  $*$ -algebras studied in [Kuperberg 2002]
  - ▶ For all  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,  $\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p < \|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p \implies$  there is  $k, n, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}$ .
  - ▶ Conclude by multiplicativity of the shape vector
- ▶ Converse
  - ▶ If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}$ , we can embed  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{F}^*)$  into  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{G}^*)$ 
    - ▶ Embedding given by  $x \mapsto e_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{G}^*\mathcal{D}^*(x)e_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^*\mathcal{D}^*(x)$ , with  $e_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{1})^0$ .
    - ▶ Amounts to show that  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{F}^*) \subseteq M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^*\mathcal{D}^*}$ , multiplicative domain of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^*\mathcal{D}^*$ .
    - ▶ For  $\Phi$  CP,  $M_{\Phi^*} = \{X \mid \forall Y, \Phi(XY) = \Phi(X)\Phi(Y), \Phi(YX) = \Phi(Y)\Phi(X)\}$
    - ▶ For  $\Phi$  Unital CP, i.e  $\Phi^*$  is CPTP,  
 $M_{\Phi} = \{X \mid \Phi(X^*X) = \Phi(X^*)\Phi(X), \Phi(XX^*) = \Phi(X)\Phi(X^*)\}$ .
    - ▶ Inclusion proven by the Schwarz inequality:  $\mathcal{F}^*(x^*x) \geq \mathcal{F}^*(x^*)\mathcal{F}^*(x)$ .
  - ▶ If  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{F}^*)$  embeds into  $\text{Rg}(\mathcal{G}^*)$ , for all  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,  $\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p \leq \|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p$
  - ▶ Conclude by multiplicativity of the shape vector.

## Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2

- ▶ We show that  $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{DGE}\|_{\diamond} \geq 1 - \min_{p \in \{1, +\infty\}} \frac{\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p}{\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p}$ .

## Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2

- ▶ We show that  $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}\|_{\diamond} \geq 1 - \min_{p \in \{1, +\infty\}} \frac{\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p}{\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p}$ .
- ▶ Holevo-Helstrom:  $\frac{1}{2}\|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|_{\diamond} \geq \text{tr}(\mu\Phi_1 \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma)) - \text{tr}(\mu\Phi_2 \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma))$  for any  $0 \leq \mu \leq \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\sigma$  a state.
- ▶ **Goal:** Find  $\mu, \sigma$  such that:
  - ▶  $\text{tr}(\mu\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma)) = 1$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{tr}(\mu\mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma)) \leq \frac{\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p}{\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p}$ .

## Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2

- ▶ We show that  $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}\|_{\diamond} \geq 1 - \min_{p \in \{1, +\infty\}} \frac{\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p}{\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p}$ .
- ▶ Holevo-Helstrom:  $\frac{1}{2}\|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|_{\diamond} \geq \text{tr}(\mu\Phi_1 \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma)) - \text{tr}(\mu\Phi_2 \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma))$  for any  $0 \leq \mu \leq \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\sigma$  a state.
- ▶ **Goal:** Find  $\mu, \sigma$  such that:
  - ▶  $\text{tr}(\mu\mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma)) = 1$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{tr}(\mu\mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{Id}_R(\sigma)) \leq \frac{\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p}{\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p}$ .
- ▶ For  $p = 1$  ('purely classical'): maximally correlated state for  $\sigma$ ,  $\mu$  projector on  $\text{supp}(\sigma)$
- ▶ For  $p = +\infty$  ('purely quantum'):  $\mu = \sigma$  is the maximally entangled state.

## Rate if a very small error is allowed

- ▶ Proof of the converse bound based on *structure properties* of multiplicative domains.
- ▶ Multiplicative domains of UCP are  $*$ -algebras: if  $X, Y \in M_\Phi$ :  $\{X^*, XY, \mathbf{1}\} \subseteq M_\Phi$ .
- ▶ *Loosen* these requirements up to an  $\varepsilon$ :  $\varepsilon$ - $C*$  algebras introduced in [Kitaev 2025]
- ▶ We show that  $\Phi^*$  UCP is *almost* multiplicative on  $\{X \mid \|\Phi^*(x^*x) - \Phi^*(x^*)\Phi^*(x)\| \leq \delta\|x\|^2, \|\Phi^*(xx^*) - \Phi^*(x)\Phi^*(x^*)\| \leq \delta\|x\|^2\}$ .
- ▶ Conclude that if  $\|\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}\|_\diamond$  is *very* small,  $\frac{k}{n} \leq \inf_{p \in [1, +\infty]} \frac{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{G})\|_p)}{\log(\|\lambda(\mathcal{F})\|_p)}$ .
- ▶ Theorem 1 follows for  $\|\mathcal{F}^{\otimes k} - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}^{\otimes n}\mathcal{E}\|_\diamond = 0$ .
- ▶ Slight improvement of the single shot case

- ▶ Emulation capacity is single-letter for idempotent channels.

## Conclusion

- ▶ Emulation capacity is single-letter for idempotent channels.
- ▶ It is computable in polynomial time when the source or target channel is the quantum or classical identity.

## Conclusion

- ▶ Emulation capacity is single-letter for idempotent channels.
- ▶ It is computable in polynomial time when the source or target channel is the quantum or classical identity.
- ▶ Emulation of idempotent channels admits a strong-converse rate.

## Conclusion

- ▶ Emulation capacity is single-letter for idempotent channels.
- ▶ It is computable in polynomial time when the source or target channel is the quantum or classical identity.
- ▶ Emulation of idempotent channels admits a strong-converse rate.
- ▶ This rate is tight when the source or target is the quantum or classical identity.

# Conclusion

- ▶ Emulation capacity is single-letter for idempotent channels.
- ▶ It is computable in polynomial time when the source or target channel is the quantum or classical identity.
- ▶ Emulation of idempotent channels admits a strong-converse rate.
- ▶ This rate is tight when the source or target is the quantum or classical identity.

## Open problem

Is

$$\inf_{p \in [1, +\infty]} \frac{\log(\|\mathcal{F}\|_p)}{\log(\|\mathcal{G}\|_p)}$$

a strong-converse rate for the emulation of **any** idempotent channels?